Published in final edited form as:
J Am Chem Soc. 2011 June 8; 133(22): 8498–8501. doi:10.1021/ja203070r.

Protonolysis of a Ruthenium-Carbene Bond and Applications in Olefin Metathesis

Benjamin K. Keitz[†], **Jean Bouffard**[§], **Guy Bertrand**[§], and **Robert H. Grubbs**[*,†]

[†] Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 91125

[§] UCR-CNRS Joint Research Chemical Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

Abstract

The synthesis of a ruthenium complex containing an N-heterocylic carbene (NHC) and a mesoionic carbene (MIC) is described wherein addition of a Brønsted acid results in protonolysis of the Ru-MIC bond to generate an extremely active metathesis catalyst. Mechanistic studies implicate a rate-determining protonation step to generate the metathesis active species. The NHC/MIC catalyst was found to have activity exceeding current commercial ruthenium catalysts.

Olefin metathesis has gained widespread use as a robust method for the formation of C-C double bonds largely due to the development of increasingly powerful catalysts.[1] Key to a catalysts' efficiency are its activity and stability, which can be tuned through a judicious choice of ligands. Specifically, the stability of a ruthenium-based catalyst can be improved by preventing decomposition pathways, which rely on nucleophilic attack by a dissociated ligand.[2] For instance, replacing a dissociating phosphine ligand by a chelating ether moiety results in a catalyst that is more stable under metathesis reaction conditions.[3] A second NHC may be used in place of a phosphine, and in fact, complexes such as this are among the first metathesis catalysts to incorporate NHCs.[4] However, due to the low dissociation rate of NHCs on ruthenium, all bis-NHC complexes require thermal activation at temperatures well above RT.[4] Nevertheless, these catalysts are still effective at a variety of metathesis transformations and have the added benefit of only initiating in response to an external stimulus (latent catalysis), a behavior which is critical in materials science applications.[5,6] We report herein that ruthenium complexes incorporating a traditional NHC and a mesoionic carbene (MIC)[7] may be activated by the addition of a Brønsted acid. The resulting catalyst combines the stability and latency of bis-NHC complexes while maintaining low activation temperatures. Furthermore, we demonstrate that in some reactions, the performance of such catalysts surpasses that of the best commercially available catalysts.

We have previously reported on the synthesis and activity of ruthenium olefin metathesis catalysts of type **A** bearing MICs in place of more traditional NHCs (Scheme 1).[8] In our attempts to prepare analogues bearing the unhindered H-substituted MIC **2** from **1**, we observed the formation of **3.** We noted that in the presence of a solvent containing acidic impurities, the transformation of **3** to **1** would occur. Although relatively rare, protonolysis reactions of metal-NHC bonds have been observed for ruthenium[9] and other late metals.[10]

rhg@caltech.edu.

Supporting Information. Supporting Information containing NMR spectra, kinetic data, and mechanistic analysis is available free of charge via the internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Given these precedents, we concluded that MIC **2** was acid-labile and imagined that it could be incorporated into a metathesis catalyst as a dissociating ligand.

Combining free MIC **2** with **4** in C_6H_6 resulted in new complex **5**, which was isolated in excellent yield after washing with cold pentane (Figure 1). The solid-state structure of **5** is consistent with analogous bis-NHC complexes.[4c]

Initial metathesis screens revealed that **5** is completely inactive at RT. For instance, 1 mol% of **5** in C_6H_6 was unable to polymerize 1,5-cyclooctadiene (COD) to any detectable extent within a period of 12 h at RT.[11] Some minimal conversion was observed after extended periods, presumably due to very slow catalyst initiation resulting from the acidic glassware or acid impurities. Under similar reaction conditions, <5% conversion of ring-closing metathesis (RCM) substrate diethyl diallylmalonate (**7**) was observed over a period of several weeks at RT. In contrast, addition of HCl (1 M in Et_2O) resulted in complete and immediate conversion to the RCM product (**8**) within 20 min (Table 1). Having established the feasibility of our initial hypothesis, we set about studying the protonolysis reaction in greater detail.

Our initial efforts focused on the effect of different acids on the RCM of diethyl diallylmalonate (**7**, Table 1). Strong acids (entries 2, 4, 7 and 8) were found to be the most effective, and were capable of initiating the reaction even when added as aqueous solutions. However, the identity of the conjugate base is also important, as HBF_4 performed poorly (entry 9) compared to acids with similar pK_a's. Weaker acids (entries 5 and 6) were less efficient and only reached full conversion after several hours or not at all. Interestingly, some Lewis acids were also capable of affecting the transformation. For instance, addition of $ZnCl_2$ resulted in complete conversion within 2 h at RT, while addition of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ resulted in only 33% conversion after several hours. Other Lewis acids such as $SnCl_4$ were found to be even less effective. In general, Brønsted acids significantly outperformed Lewis acids.

Due to their proficiency in activating **5**, HCl and TFA were chosen to investigate the RCM of **7** to **8** more closely. Under standard RCM screening conditions, a mixture of **5** and either HCl or TFA showed complete conversion of **7** to **8** within 10 min at 30 °C (Figure 2). The reaction with TFA was particularly fast, and reached 100% conversion within only a few minutes. Catalyst **5** also excelled at the RCM of tri-substituted substrate (**9**, Figure 3). Notably, in the above RCM reactions, catalyst **5** was found to be superior to commercial catalysts such as $(H_2IMes)Cl_2Ru(=CHPhO^iPr)$ **6** (H_2IMes = 1,3-dimesitylimidazolidine-2-ylidene).[12] As expected from these results, **5** also performed well at ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) with both HCl and TFA (see SI).

Having established the activation of **5** with acid, additional experiments were performed with the best two acid activators, TFA and HCl, to study the mechanism of activation in greater detail. The benzylidene proton resonance of **5** was monitored by ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy following addition of varying amounts of TFA. A plot of observed rate constant versus concentration of TFA in C_6D_6 displayed a second order dependence on TFA (see Figure S10). This behavior is consistent with protonation of **5** from an acid dimer instead of an acid monomer.[13] In order for the above situation to be plausible however, protonation must be involved in the rate-determining step of the reaction. To probe this possibility and to simplify the acid-base chemistry of the system, we decided to monitor the initiation of **5** in CD_3CN in place of C_6D_6.

If protonation is involved in the rate-determining step of the initiation reaction, a plot of k_{obs} versus acid concentration should be linear *at constant pH*.[14] This parallels the behavior of general acid-catalyzed reactions, although in this case, kinetic runs were conducted under

pseudo-first order conditions. Indeed, when an initiation study was performed with TFA in CD_3CN, using potassium trifluoroacetate to maintain an approximately constant pH, a linear plot was obtained (Figure S12). Further evidence of the involvement of acid in the rate-determining step is shown by a Brønsted plot (Figure 4) which displays a linear relationship between the pK_a of the acid in CD_3CN and the log of the initiation rate of **5**.[15] Finally, a plot of $\log(k_{obs})$ versus the pH of the solution exhibited behavior characteristic of acid involvement in the rate-determining step (Figure S15). When HCl was used in place of TFA in CD_3CN, a first order dependence on HCl concentration was observed (Figure S17). All of the above results are strong indications that a protonation event, not dissociation, is the rate-determining step of catalyst activation.

Initiation kinetics of **5** in the presence of inorganic acids in solvents of lower polarity (C_6D_6, d_8-toluene) are more intricate, and likely involve poorly understood solvation and/or counter-ion effects as suggested from the screening of acid initiators. For instance, the reaction of **5** in C_6D_6 following the addition of excess (>15 eq) HCl revealed a decrease in benzylidene proton signal intensity that followed clean first order kinetics. A plot of the observed rate constant versus HCl concentration displayed saturation kinetics that are inconsistent with a protonation event being rate-determining under these conditions, and *may* be indicative of a pre-equilibrium step (Scheme 2, Figure S3**Error! Reference source not found.**). Monitoring the growth of product **6** after treating **5** with acid in the presence of varying amounts of **14** showed no dependence on chelating olefin concentration (Scheme 2, Figure S5).[16] Therefore, any reaction with an olefin must take place after the rate-determining step. The above experiment with **14** also allowed us to identify **13**, which precipitated from solution. Taken together, the formation of **6** and **13** suggest that protonation of **5** generates catalytic intermediate **12**, which is the same active species that is postulated to follow thermally-induced ligand dissociation in common ruthenium metathesis catalysts.[17] An Eyring plot (Figure S5) of the activation reaction with HCl in d_8-toluene at *saturation conditions* yielded values for $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ of 11.9 ± 0.2 kcal/mol and −33.3 ± 0.7 eu respectively. The $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ for **5** is ca. 10 kcal/mol less than that of comparable phosphine-based catalysts while the $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ is much larger in magnitude and negative.[17] The negative $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ is inconsistent with a rate limiting dissociative step. Therefore, a simple interpretation of the above saturation kinetics as a fast protonation equilibrium followed by slow ligand dissociation is inaccurate. However, any conclusions based on $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ alone are complicated by the likely formation of charged transition states in solvents (C_6D_6), which are largely incapable of stabilizing them.[18] Nevertheless, the observed initiation rate of **5** in C_6D_6 at saturation conditions and RT (0.0011 s^{-1}) is slightly faster than that of (H_2IMes)(PCy_3)Cl_2Ru(=CHPh) (0.00046 s^{-1} at 35 °C)[17] which explains the superior performance of **5** in RCM.[19]

A complete proposed mechanism for the initiation event of **5** is shown in Scheme 2. Although our mechanistic studies cannot definitively establish the nature of the protonation event, the fact that some Lewis acids also activate the catalyst strongly suggests that the unsubstituted nitrogen (N2) on the MIC ligand (**2**) plays an important role. Previously reported DFT calculations on free MICs (e.g. **2**) suggest that N2 has the second highest proton affinity after the carbene itself, meaning that protonation at this position is plausible.[8] Thus, it is likely that initiation entails protonation at the MIC N2 on **5** to give **11**, followed by dissociation with a concomitant 1,3 – proton shift to give **13** and **12**, both of which are observable by mass spectrometry (see SI). This mechanism is consistent with our experimental results so far, but at this time we cannot definitively rule out other possibilities.

A final question we wished to answer was whether the behavior of **5** was due to the unique nature of the MIC ligand, or if other conventional NHCs (e.g. H_2IMes) acted in a similar manner. In order to determine this, (H_2IMes)$_2Cl_2$Ru(=CHPh) (**15**) was added to dimethyl

diallylmalonate **7**, and no RCM activity at RT was observed.[20] Upon addition of HCl (10 eq.), no immediate activity was detected either. However, after a period of ca. 12h at RT, ca. 70% conversion to **8** was observed by NMR spectroscopy. When HCl was added to a mixture of **15** and **14** in order to approximate the extent of catalyst initiation, only ca. 12% conversion to catalyst **6** was achieved after a period of 24 h (Scheme 3). This result is in contrast to that observed for **5**, which was able to achieve complete conversion to **6** within a matter of minutes. Thus, although **15** is capable of being activated by acid, it does so much less efficiently than **5**.

In summary, we have demonstrated that in the presence of acid, a MIC ligand may act as a leaving group and allow an otherwise inactive metathesis complex (**5**) to enter the metathesis catalytic cycle. Furthermore, under standard metathesis reactivity screening conditions, **5** is superior to the latest commercial catalysts and can complete RCM reactions within a matter of minutes at RT. A mechanistic study of the initiation mechanism concluded that protonation is rate-determining with the most efficient initiator, TFA, but that the activation step is strongly influenced by the identity of the acid and solvent. With strong acid initiators, **5** is able to quickly and efficiently access the same reactive intermediate as other catalysts (e.g. **12**), and thus combines latency with exceptional reactivity at RT. Finally, we established that the observed protonolysis behavior of **5** can also occur, but only to a limited extent, in other bis-NHC complexes, enabling the incorporation of these activation mechanisms in future generations of metathesis catalysts.



Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Lawrence Henling and Dr. Michael Day are acknowledged for X-ray crystallographic analysis. Prof. Dennis Dougherty and Dr. Jay Labinger are thanked for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Dr. Alexey Federov for assisting with mass spectrometry studies and instrumentation. Financial support of NDSEG (fellowship to B.K.K.), FQNRT (fellowship to J.B.), NIH (R01 GM 68825, 5RO1GM031332), and NSF (CHE-1048404) is acknowledged. Instrumentation facilities on which this work was carried out were supported by NSF CHE-063094 and NIH RR027690.

References

1. (a) Fürstner A. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2000; 39:3013.(b) Trnka TM, Grubbs RH. Acc Chem Res. 2001; 34:18. [PubMed: 11170353] (c) Schrock RR. Chem Rev. 2002; 102:145. [PubMed: 11782131] (d) Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2003; 42:4592.(e) Vougioukalakis G, Grubbs RH. Chem Rev. 2009; 110:1746. [PubMed: 20000700] (f) Samojłowicz C, Bieniek M, Grela K. Chem Rev. 2009; 109:3708. [PubMed: 19534492]
2. Hong S, Day MW, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 3:7414. [PubMed: 15198568]

3. (a) Kingsbury JS, Harrity JPA, Bonitatebus PJ, Hoveyda AH. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:791.(b) Garber SB, Kingsbury JS, Gray BL, Hoveyda AH. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:8168.
4. (a) Vorfalt T, Leuthäusser S, Plenio H. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2009; 48:5191.(b) Conrad J, Yap G, Fogg DE. Organometallics. 2003:1986.(c) Zhang W, Bai C, Lu X, He R. J Organomet Chem. 2007; 692:3563.(d) Weskamp T, Schattenmann WC, Spiegler M, Herrmann WA. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1998; 37:2490.(e) Weskamp T, Schattenmann WC, Spiegler M, Herrmann WA. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1999; 38:262.(f) Maynard, HD.; Grubbs, RH. PhD Dissertation. California Institute of Technology; 2001.
5. For selected examples of catalysts which respond to acid see: (a) Lynn DM, Mohr B, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120:1627.(b) Sanford MS, Henling LM, Grubbs RH. Organometallics. 1998; 17:5384.(c) Sanford MS, Henling LM, Day MW, Grubbs RH. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2000; 39:3451. (d) Hahn FE, Paas M, Fröhlich R. J Organomet Chem. 2005; 690:5816.(e) Gulajski L, Michrowska A, Bujok R, Grela K. J Mol Cat A - Chem. 2006; 254:118.(f) Gawin R, Makal A, Wozniak K, Mauduit M, Grela K. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007; 46:7206.(g) P'Pool SJ, Schanz HJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:14200. [PubMed: 17963379] (h) Balof SL, Yu B, Lowe AB, Ling Y, Zhang Y, Schanz HJ. Eur J Inorg Chem. 2009; 2009:1717–1722.(i) Dunbar MA, Balof SL, Roberts AN, Valente EJ, Schanz HJ. Organometallics. 2011; 30:199.
6. For thermal activation and applications see : (a) Ung T, Hejl A, Grubbs RH, Schrodi Y. Organometallics. 2004; 23:5399.(b) Slugovc C, Burtscher D, Stelzer F, Mereiter K. Organometallics. 2005; 24:2255.(c) Monsaert S, Lozano Vila A, Drozdzak R, Van Der Voort P, Verpoort F. Chem Soc Rev. 2009; 38:3360. [PubMed: 20449055]
7. (a) Guisado-Barrios G, Bouffard J, Donnadieu B, Bertrand G. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:4759.For recent reviews of carbenes other than NHCs, see: (b) Schuster O, Yang L, Raubenheimer HG, Albrecht M. Chem Rev. 2009; 109:3445. [PubMed: 19331408] (c) Melaimi M, Soleilhavoup M, Bertrand G. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:8810.
8. Bouffard J, Keitz BK, Tonner R, Guisado-Barrios G, Frenking G, Grubbs RH, Bertrand G. Organometallics. 2011; 30:2617. [PubMed: 21572542]
9. (a) da Costa RC, Hampel F, Gladysz J. Polyhedron. 2007; 26:581.(b) Leitao EM, Eide EF, van der Romero PE, Piers WE, McDonald R. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:2784. [PubMed: 20136131]
10. (a) Simonovic S, Whitwood AC, Clegg W, Harrington RW, Hursthouse MB, Male L, Douthwaite RE. Eur J Inorg Chem. 2009; 2009:1786.(b) McGuinness DS, Yates BF, Cavell KJ. Chem Comm. 2001:355.(c) Wang CY, Liu YH, Peng SM, Chen JT, Liu ST. J Organomet Chem. 2007; 692:3976.(d) Blue E, Gunnoe T, Petersen J, Boyle P. J Organomet Chem. 2006; 691:5988.(e) Fu CF, Lee CC, Liu YH, Peng SM, Warsink S, Elsevier CJ, Chen JT, Liu ST. Inorg Chem. 2010; 49:3011. [PubMed: 20143789] (f) Díez-González S, Nolan SP. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2008; 47:8881.
11. When no acid was added, **5** began to show evidence of polymerization at temperatures of ca. 60 °C, indicating that thermal initiation is also viable.
12. Ritter T, Hejl A, Wenzel AG, Funk TW, Grubbs RH. Organometallics. 2006; 25:5740.
13. Carboxylic acids are known to dimerize in C_6H_6 : (a) Fujii Y, Kawachi Y, Tanaka M. J Chem Soc, Faraday Trans. 1981:63.(b) Zaugg NS, Kelley AJ, Woolley EM. J Chem Eng Data. 1979; 24:218. (c) Nagai Y, Simamura O. Bull Chem Soc Japan. 1962; 2:132.
14. Jencks W. Acc Chem Res. 1980; 13:161.
15. Lewis EW. J Phys Org Chem. 1990; 3:1.
16. Performed under saturation conditions.
17. Sanford MS, Love JA, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:6543. [PubMed: 11439041]
18. For likely structures of HCl in PhH see : Buch V, Mohamed F, Krack M, Sadlej J, Devlin JP, Parrinello M. J Chem Phys. 2004; 121:12135. [PubMed: 15606229]
19. Other metathesis catalysts such as can also be accelerated by additives such as acid In these cases the acid protonates the ligand after it has dissociated from the complex. See : Huang J, Schanz H-J, Stevens ED, Nolan SP. Organometallics. 1999; 18:5375.
20. Trnka TM, Morgan JP, Sanford MS, Wilhelm TE, Scholl M, Choi TL, Ding S, Day MW, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:2546. [PubMed: 12603143]

Figure 1.
Synthesis of **5** and solid state structure with 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): C13-Ru (2.086), C5-Ru (2.097) and angle (°): C13-Ru-C5 (169.34).



Figure 2.

RCM of **7** with **5** and TFA (16 eq., blue) or HCl (31 eq, black), and RCM of **7** with NHC complex **6** (white). Conditions were **7** (0.08 mmol), **5** or **6** (0.0008 mmol), and HCl (1 M in Et_2O) or TFA in C_6D_6 (0.8 mL) at 30 °C. Conversion was measured by ^1H NMR spectroscopy.



Figure 3.

RCM of **9** with **5** and TFA (16 eq., blue) or HCl (31 eq, black), and RCM of **9** with NHC complex **6** (white). Conditions were **9** (0.08 mmol), **5** or **6** (0.0008 mmol), and HCl (1 M in Et_2O) or TFA in C_6D_6 (0.8 mL) at 30 °C. Conversion was measured by ^1H NMR spectroscopy.



Figure 4.

Brønsted plot for initiation of **5** at RT in CD_3CN. Conditions were **5** (0.003 mmol), acid (0.045 mmol), in CD_3CN (0.6 mL). Acids were Acetic, Cl_2HCCO_2H, F_3CCO_2H, and CH_3SO_3H.



Scheme 1.

Initial discovery of acid-induced dissociation of MIC from **3**. Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl.



Scheme 2.
Proposed Mechanism for Initiation of **5**.



Scheme 3.

Initiation study of **15**. Conditions were **15** (0.0032 mmol), **14** (0.032 mmol), and HCl (0.05 mmol) in C_6D_6.

Table 1

RCM of 7 with 5 (1 mol%), acid (20 mol%), and substrate in C_6D_6 (0.1 M). Conversion was measured via ^1H NMR spectroscopy.

Entry	Acid	Time (h)	Conv. (%)
1	None	18+	<5
2	HCl (1 M in Et_2O)	0.3	>95
3	Perchloric 70%	4	73
4	Trifluoroacetic (TFA)	0.3	>95
5	Acetic	18	20
6	Formic 88%	18	91
7	Hydrobromic 48%	4	>95
8	Hydroiodic 57%	4	>95
9	HBF_4 (Et_2O)	1	16
10	BH_3 (THF)	18	19
11	$B(C_6F_5)_3$	17	33
12	$ZnCl_2$	1	>95
13	$SnCl_4$	18	<5